



THE FUTURE OF THE NATURE DIRECTIVES: WHERE ARE WE GOING?

Illegal Hunting as a Crime of Dissent

7th March 2017, Brussels

Erica von Essen: erica.von.essen@slu.se

Postdoctoral researcher, Division of Environmental Communication, Swedish University
of Agricultural Sciences



Sveriges lantbruksuniversitet
Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences



*The Swedish Research Council for Environment,
Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning*

Opposition and dissent toward processes – not toward wolves

- *Administrative, litigative* and *discursive* inertia in the system
 - Monitoring and reporting perceived to “punish itself” for some member states.
- Impetus for going outside of the system through:
- Illegal hunting – “shoot, shovel and shut up”
 - Non-reporting – e.g. refusal to report game inventory to local public authority
 - Rumor spreading – narratives of large carnivores as secretly released or hybrids
 - Dissent & protest – boycotts, bonfires, noncooperation, road blockages

Commoning & Fragmenting of Wildlife

- Strong perceptions of ‘green’ appropriation by the EU
- Ravens = “EU crows” (deragory), wolves = “their little pets”, “I keep my animals fenced in, you do the same with yours”
- Possessiveness toward ‘our own’ stocks: “our moose”, “my pheasants”
- Private ownership of wildlife and natural resources is a short-term solution.
- Partitioning makes things worse – toward ‘commoning’?