Contribution ID: 596c918b-025f-499f-bbe0-1a891bb34fc1

Date: 05/04/2018 10:02:31

Public consultation on an EU initiative for pollinators

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

The initiative aims to tackle the decline of pollinators by increasing the effectiveness of EU policies for pollinators and raising the political profile of the issue. Addressing the decline of pollinators will support EU's efforts in halting the loss of biodiversity and implementing the Sustainable Development Goals.

This questionnaire aims to collect your views on the problem and how the EU can address it. You are invited to respond to the questionnaire, regardless of your level of expertise.

The questionnaire takes about **20 minutes to complete**. You can save your answers as draft and finish the survey later. The questionnaire is accessible in 23 EU languages and you can submit your reply in any of these languages. The questionnaire is structured as follows:

The **first part** of the questionnaire asks for some general information about you, the respondent, in order to better understand your perspective.

The **second part** covers your awareness about pollinators: their importance, status and the threats they are facing.

The third part covers the decline of pollinators: its extent, causes and consequences.

The **fourth part** asks you about possible solutions to address the decline of pollinators.

The **final section** allows you to provide final remarks or upload a document that you think is relevant to better explain your views.

Before responding to this survey, you are invited to read the following **background information** [Roadma p, consultation webpage].

I. General information about the respondent

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

*Country of residence

Belgium

Region (optional) Région de Bruxelles-capitale/Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest Vlaams Gewest Région Wallonne
*You are replying as an individual in your personal capacity. in your professional capacity or on behalf of an organization.
*Respondent's first name
Monia
*Respondent's last name
Anane
*Professional email address
monia.anane@face.eu
* Name of the organisation
FACE (Federation of Associations for Hunting and Conservation of the EU)
*Is your organisation included in the Transparency Register? If your organisation is not registered, we invite you to register here , although it is not compulsory to be registered to reply to this consultation. Why a transparency register? Yes No No Not applicable
*Type of organisation Please select the answer option that fits best. Private enterprise Professional consultancy, law firm, self-employed consultant Trade, business or professional association Non-governmental organisation, platform or network Research and academia Churches and religious communities Regional or local authority (public or mixed)
 Regional or local authority (public or mixed) National public authority

International organisation

Other

*Which of the follow	ing best describes your	main field of activity or	inte	erest?
agriculture	0	environment	0	nature
agro-industry (c machinery)	hemical, seed or	extractive industry		recreation
apiculture	0	finance	0	science
architecture	0	food/beverage industry	0	tourism
bio-economy	0	forestry	0	transport
oconstruction & c	levelopment O	health	0	urban planning and development
culture	0	horticulture		other
education	•	hunting		
energy	0	media and communication		
*Your contribution				
	chosen, your answers may be su	ubject to a request for public acce	ess t	o documents under Regulation (EC)
N°1049/2001 • can be publish	ad with your paragnal im	formation (Leonaget to t	ho r	sublication of all information
in my contribution	on in whole or in part unde unlawful or would infringe	er the name indicated, and	d I d	•
information in m provided that it i would infringe th	is done anonymously. I de ne rights of any third party	in part (which may included are that nothing within r	e qı ny r	uotes or opinions I express) response is unlawful or
II. Pollinators an	id their role			
*1. How well do you	consider yourself inform	ned about pollinators: th	neir	importance, status and
trends, and the threa	ts they are facing?			
Very well inform	ied			
Well informed				
Somewhat infor	med			
Not well informed	ed			
Not at all inform	ed			
_	ain sources of information	on on pollinators? Pleas	e c	hoose maximum three
options.				
at most 3 choice(s)				
National newspa	•			
Regional or loca	al newspapers			
Magazines				
Television news	;			
The radio				
	mentaries on television			
Family, friends	or neighbours			
Colleagues				

1	Professional contacts
1	Brochures or information materials
V	Books or scientific literature
	Events (conferences, fairs, exhibitions, festivals, etc.)
	Museums, national or regional parks
	Online social networks
	The Internet (other websites, blogs, forums, etc.)
	Other

3. In your opinion, how important...

	Indispensable	Important	Somewhat important	Not important	No opinion /Not sure
*are pollinators for human well-being?	•	0	0	0	0
*is the role of pollinators in natural systems?	•	0	0	0	0
*is the service provided by pollinators to agricultural systems?	•	0	0	0	0
*are pollinators for the sustainability of rural livelihoods?	•	0	0	0	0
*are pollinators for our food security?	•	0	0	0	0
*is it to protect pollinators for their intrinsic and cultural value, and not only for their economic value?	•	0	•	0	•
*is it to protect pollinators for future generations?	•	0	0	0	0

*4. Pollinators contribute to human society in different ways. For instance, their contribution to EU
agriculture sector has been estimated at around EUR 15 billion per year. Protecting pollinators also
involves costs. In your opinion, how do the potential costs of their protection compare with the
socio-economic benefits that they provide?

The costs	and	henefite	are	more	٥r	Less eaus	al
1116 (0515	anu	Dellellis	alt	HIULE	OI.	iess euu	aı

- The costs are **much higher** than the benefits
- The costs are higher than the benefits
- The costs are lower than the benefits
- The costs <u>are much</u> lower than the benefits
- No opinion/Not sure

he decline of po ollowing causes		to several caus	es. Please indica	te how importan	t you con
	Very important	Important	Somewhat important	Not important	No opi /Not su
* 1. Agricultural intensification	•	0	0	0	0
* 1.1 Simplification of agricultural landscapes (e.g. monocultures)	•	©	•	©	0
* 1.2 Increased grazing /mowing of grasslands	•	•	•	©	0
* 1.3 Use of fertilizers	•	0	0	0	0
* 1.4 Use of pesticides	•	0	0	0	0
*2. Farmland abandonment	0	0	•	0	0

*5. Both wild and domesticated pollinators (like honeybees) pollinate agricultural crops in the EU.

In your opinion, how do their respective contributions to crop pollination compare?

Domesticated pollinators contribute a lot more than wild pollinators

Domesticated pollinators contribute a lot less than wild pollinators

III. Decline of pollinators, its causes and consequences

*6. In your opinion, the current decline of pollinators in abundance and diversity is:

Domesticated pollinators contribute <u>more</u> than wild pollinators
 Domesticated pollinators contribute <u>less</u> than wild pollinators

Their contributions are more or less equal

No opinion/Not sure

Alarming

©	•	0	0	0
•	•	•	•	0
0	•	0	0	0
•	•	•	•	•
•	0	0	0	0
0	•	0	0	0
				

*8. T	o which extent do you consider that the decline of pollinators affects you in your private life?
0	A lot
(0)	Significantly

- Significantly
- Somewhat
- Not at all
- No opinion / Not sure

*9. To which extent do you consider that the decline of pollinators affects you professionally?

- A lot
- Significantly
- Somewhat
- Not at all
- No opinion / Not sure

IV. How to halt the decline of pollinators

*10. In your opinion, how do the EU policies currently address the decline of pollinators?

- Very well
- Well
- Adequately
- Not well
- Not at all well
- No opinion/ Not sure

*11. In your opinion, how do the policies of your country or region currently address the decline of pollinators?

- Very well
- Well

						_	
In your opinion		much should	the efforts to	halt the de	cline of pollin	ators be ste _l	pped up
	A lot	Significantly	Somewhat	Not at all	No opini		
* International	•	0	0	0	0		
*EU	•	0	0	0	0)	
*National	•	0	0	0	0)	
*Regional	•	0	0	0	0)	
*Local	•	©					
In your opinio		important is to	o include the	e following a	actions in the		rs
	on, how	important is to					
iative?	on, how	important is to					No opinio
iative?	on, how	important is to	o include the	following a	sctions in the	EU Pollinato	No opinic / No
tions to impro	ve know	important is to	Very important	following a	sctions in the	EU Pollinato	No opinic / No sure

Very

important

0

Important

Adequately

Actions to tackle the causes of decline

*4. Protect and restore pollinator

habitats in Natura 2000 sites

No

opinion

/ Not

sure

Not

important

Somewhat

important

*5. Protect and restore pollinator					
habitats in rural areas outside Natura 2000 sites	•	©	0	0	0
*6. Protect and restore pollinator habitats in urban areas outside Natura 2000 sites	•	©	0	0	0
*7. Assess risks of pesticides to pollinators	•	0	0	0	0
*8. Implement Integrated Pest Management	0	•	0	0	0
*9. Combat invasive alien species	•	0	0	0	0
*10. Mitigate climate change impacts on pollinators	•	0	0	0	0
*11. Reduce pollution (including light pollution)	•	0	0	0	0
*12. Protect pollinators from diseases	•	0	0	0	0
*13. Support the efforts of the private sector	•	©	0	0	0
*14. Create market incentives for pollinator friendly activities	0	•	0	0	0

Actions to raise awareness, improve collaboration and knowledge sharing

	Very important	Important	Somewhat important	Not important	No opinion / Not sure
*15. Engage local communities	0	•	0	0	0
*16. Support knowledge sharing among stakeholders	•	0	0	0	0
*17. Support collaboration among Member States	•	0	0	0	0
*18. Support the development of local/regional/national strategies	•	0	0	0	0

V. Final remarks

If you wish to add further information - within the scope of this questionnaire - please feel free to do so here.

FACE, as an international non-profit NGO, which represents Europe's 7 million hunters, is increasingly concerned about ongoing biodiversity declines, in particular for huntable species. European hunters understand that when the ecological conditions for pollinators are correct, there are much wider biodiversity benefits. Because of the enormous impact of pollinators on food production, biodiversity, human health and the economy, FACE calls on the EU and all Member States to undertake vigorous measures that will benefit pollinators and reverse their decline. To do so, some actions are strictly needed:

- 1) restoring an EU wide network of wildflower habitats,
- 2) redirecting CAP payments to support pollination services using a results-based approach,
- 3) reforming pesticide regulation to prevent future harm to bees, and
- 4) improving bio-security of soil.

Pollinator declines mainly finds its source in the current agricultural practices. In its positions on CAP and Greening, FACE is strongly advocating for the next CAP to reward farmers for producing food and ecosystem services whatever the scale and to encourage and help them to better respond to the multiple environmental demand. FACE also calls for a more environmentally-friendly and results-based measure to replace greening, which has not delivered for biodiversity. This biodiversity measure would be based on area dedicated to non-productive use established at farm level and where chemical inputs, tillage or cropping are banned when not strictly needed for the achievement of biodiversity goals.

Please upload your file

The maximum file size is 1 MB.

Please note that the uploaded document will be published alongside your response to the questionnaire which is the essential input to this open public consultation. The document is an optional complement and serves as additional background reading to better understand your position.

Contact

ENV-BIODIVERSITY@ec.europa.eu